Clyr

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

JOHN J. PETITIO,

#### Plaintiff,

#### -against-

OPINION & ORDER CV-04-4493(SJF)(ARL)

X

PHILLIP D. HILL, IRS Special Agent CID, RANDALL L. SPRANCE, IRS Special Agent CID, LOU GOSSETT, IRS CID Supervisor, DAVID MASSECCA, Ex-IRS Revenue Agent/Auditor, MELINDA G. WILLIAM, ESQ., IRS Attorney, RONALD BUCH, ESQ., IRS Attorney, RICHARD GOLDMAN, ESQ., IRS Attorney, STEPHEN KING, ESQ., Assistant U.S. Attorney THERESA G. MCOUEENEY, ESO., IRS Attorney, LEWIS J. ABRAHAMS, ESQ., IRS Associate Area Counsel, RICHARD W. SKILLMAN, ESQ., IRS Acting Chief Counsel, KEVIN M. BROWN, IRS Division Counsel, LINDA R. DETTERY, IRS Area Counsel, PEGGY GARTENBAUM, IRS Acting Associate Area Counsel, MONICA E. KOCH, ESQ., IRS Attorney, STUART BROWN, ESQ., IRS Chief Counsel, ANDREW J. MANDELL, IRS Acting Assistant Chief Counsel, JOHN WILLIAMS, JR., IRS Chief Counsel, JOSEPH F. MASELLI, IRS Regional Counsel, JODY TANCER, IRS Acting District Counsel, JOHN ASHCROFT, former U.S. Attorney General ROSLYNN R. MAUSKOPF, U.S. Attorney of E.D.N.Y., JANET RENO, former U.S. Attorney General, JOHN W. SNOW, Secretary of the Treasury, PAUL H. O'NEILL, former Secretary of the Treasury, LAWRENCE H. SUMMERS, former Secretary of the Treasury, ROBERT E. RUBIN, former Secretary of the Treasury, MARK W. EVERSON, Commissioner of IRS, CHARLES O. ROSSOTTI, former Commissioner of IRS, INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE, UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY, UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL, OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK, and UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendants.

X

------

JOHN J. PETITIO, an individual, and DOES 1-500 WORKING INTEREST WELL OWNERS, DOES 1-800 WYOMING-BOG HORN WI OWNERS, and Does 1-5000 ENERGYTEC INC., STOCKHOLDERS,

### Plaintiffs,

# -against-

ERIC A. BREWSTER, THOMAS BENJAMIN, SPRADLIN BILLIE CHARLES, METGHALCHI MASSOUD, DON L. LAMBERT, DOROTHEA WESTBROOK KREMPEIN, PAUL J. WILLINGHAM, GENE ROSS BESEN, JEFFREY M. TILLOTSON, MARGARET NIVER MCGANN, RICHARD A. SMITH, ERIK A. CHRISTIANSEN, MARK LEHMAN, PARSONS BEHLE & LATIMER, FLORA BERRY, RICHARD STAATS, and ENERGYTEC, INC.,

	Defendants.	v
		A
FEUERSTEIN, J.		

Before the Court are objections by plaintiff John J. Petito (plaintiff) to a Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Arlene R. Lindsay dated March 7, 2007 recommending that the motion of defendants Phillip D. Hill, IRS Special Agent CID, Randall L. Sprance, IRS Special Agent CID, Lou Gossett, IRS CID Supervisor, David Massecca, ex-IRS Revenue Agent/Auditor, Melinda G. William, Esq., IRS attorney, Ronald Buch, Esq., IRS attorney, Richard Goldman, Esq., IRS attorney, Stephen King, Esq., Assistant U.S. Attorney, Theresa G. McQueeney, Esq., IRS Attorney, Lewis J. Abrahams, Esq., IRS Associate Area Counsel, Richard W. Skillman, Esq., IRS Acting Chief Counsel, Kevin M. Brown, IRS Division Counsel, Linda R. Dettery, IRS Area Counsel, Peggy Gartenbaum, IRS Acting Associate Area Counsel, Monica E. Koch, Esq., IRS Attorney, Stuart Brown, Esq., IRS Chief Counsel, Andrew J. Mandell, IRS Acting Assistant Chief Counsel, John Williams, Jr., IRS Chief Counsel, Joseph F. Maselli, IRS Regional Counsel, Jody Tancer, IRS Acting

District Counsel, John Ashcroft, former U.S. Attorney General, Roslynn R. Mauskopf, U.S. Attorney of E.D.N.Y., Janet Reno, former U.S. Attorney General, John W. Snow, Secretary of the Treasury, Paul H. O'Neill, former Secretary of the Treasury, Lawrence H. Summers, former Secretary of the Treasury, Robert E. Rubin, former Secretary of the Treasury, Mark W. Everson, Commissioner of IRS, Charles O. Rossotti, former Commissioner of IRS, Internal Revenue Service, United States Department of Treasury, United States Department of Justice, Office of the United States Attorney General, Office of the United States Attorney General Eastern District of New York, and United States of America (collectively, the "federal defendants"), to dismiss the complaint as against them be granted. For the reasons stated herein, the Report and Recommendation is accepted in its entirety and the complaint is dismissed as against the federal defendants.

### I. Discussion

## A. Standard of Review

Rule 72 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure permits magistrate judges to conduct proceedings on dispositive pretrial matters without the consent of the parties. Fed.R.Civ.P. 72(b). Any portion of a report and recommendation on dispositive matters, to which a timely objection has been made, is reviewed *de novo*. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed.R.Civ.P. 72(b). The court, however, is not required to review the factual findings or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to which no proper objections are interposed. See, Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 150, 106 S.Ct. 466, 88 L.Ed.2d 435 (1985). Whether or not proper objections have been filed, the district judge may, after review, accept, reject, or modify any of the magistrate judge's findings or recommendations. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed.R.Civ.P. 72(b).

B. Plaintiff's Objections

Plaintiff alleges, inter alia, that Magistrate Judge Lindsay based her findings and

recommendations on a "wrong set of facts" caused by the consolidation of two of plaintiff's

unrelated cases (Plaintiff's Objections, p. 3), and erred in finding (1) that this Court lacked

subject matter jurisdiction; (2) that his claims under 26 U.S.C. § 7433 and 7433A were time-

barred; and (3) that this Court lacked personal jurisdiction over the individual federal defendants.

In addition, plaintiff challenges the ten (10) day statutory time frame prescribed for the filing of

objections to a report and recommendation of a magistrate judge, see 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1);

Red. R. Civ. P. 72, and requests a "special hearing" during which he can assert further objections

to the report and recommendation.

Upon de novo review of the Report and Recommendation, and upon careful consideration

of plaintiff's objections, plaintiff's request for a "special hearing" is denied, the objections are

overruled, the Report and Recommendation is accepted in its entirety and the complaint is

dismissed as against the federal defendants. There being no just reason for delay, particularly

since the claims against the remaining defendants are unrelated to the claims against the federal

defendants, the clerk of the Court is directed to enter judgment in favor of the federal defendants

and against plaintiff and to sever the claims against the remaining defendants. See, Fed. R. Civ.

P. 54(b). The caption of this case should be amended in accordance with this Order.

SO ORDERED.

1

Dated: March 26, 2007

Central Islip, New York

3

## Copies to:

John J. Petito, *pro se* One Sanford Court North Woodmere, New York 11581

United States Attorney's Office
Eastern District of New York
610 Federal Plaza
Central Islip, New York 11722
Attn: Diane C. Leonardo-Beckmann, AUSA

Kavanagh Maloney & Osnato, LLP 415 Madison Avenue New York, New York 10017 Attn: Steven Mark Cordero, Esq.

Lynn Tillotson & Pinker, LLP 750 N. St. Paul Street, Suite 1400 Dallas, Texas 75201 Attn: Christopher J. Schwegmann, Esq.

L'Abbate, Balkan, Colavita & Contini, LLP 1050 Franklin Avenue Garden City, New York 11530 Attn: Matthew K. Flanagan, Esq.

Hamburger, Maxson, Yaffe, Wishod & Knauer, LLP 225 Broadhollow Road, Suite 301E Melville, New York 11747 Attn: Lane T. Maxson